

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIA D. PADILLA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HON. SHERI BLUEBOND,

Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-00236 KJM DB PS

ORDER

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Local Rule 302(c)(21).

On May 5, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings and recommendations. The time for filing objections has expired, and plaintiff has not filed any objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

////

1 . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 5, 2023 (ECF No. 3) are adopted in full;
5 2. Plaintiff’s February 8, 2023 application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is
6 denied;
7 3. The complaint filed on February 8, 2023, is dismissed without prejudice; and
8 4. This action is dismissed.

9 DATED: August 18, 2023.

10 
11 _____
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 \padilla0236 jo
27
28